SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION

SegFix: Model-Agnostic Boundary Refinement for Segmentation

Yuhui Yuan^{1,2,4*}, Jingyi Xie^{3*}, Xilin Chen^{1,2}, and Jingdong Wang⁴

¹ Institute of Computing Technology, CAS
² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
³ University of Science and Technology of China
⁴ Microsoft Research Asia
{yuhui.yuan, jingdw}@microsoft.com, hsfzxjy@mail.ustc.edu.cn, xlchen@ict.ac.cn

• ECCV 2020

Motivation

Most of the existing state-of-the-art segmentation models fail to deal well with the error predictions along the boundary.



DeepLabv3/HRNet/Gated-SCNN



• Contribution

• Propose a novel **model-agnostic** post-processing mechanism, reduce boundary errors by **replacing labels of boundary pixels with the labels of corresponding interior pixels** for a segmentation result.



- Overall network architecture
 - Localize the pixels along the object boundaries.
 - Learn a direction away from the boundary pixel to an interior pixel.



- Backbone (High-HRNet)
 - Adopt high resolution network (HRNet).
 - Modify HRNet through applying a 4×4 deconvolution with stride 2 on the final output feature map of HRNet.



• Boundary Branch

- 1×1 Conv \rightarrow BN \rightarrow ReLU
- Binary cross-entropy loss



• Direction branch

- Predicts discrete directions by dividing the entire direction range to m partitions as ground-truth. (m = 4 or m = 8)
- When m = 4, we have $[0^\circ, 90^\circ)$, $[90^\circ, 180^\circ)$, $[180^\circ, 270^\circ)$ and $[270^\circ, 360^\circ)$ correspond to 4 different categories separately. The above 4 direction categories correspond to offsets (1, 1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1) and (1, -1).





• Ground-truth generation

- Distance map We use scipy.ndimage.morphology.distance_transform_edt in implementation.
- Boundary map
- Direction map
 - Sobel filter (with kernel size 9×9) on the distance maps to compute the corresponding direction.
 - The Sobel filter based direction is in the range [0°, 360°), each direction points to the interior pixel.



- Training
 - The boundary branch to predict a binary map B.
 - The direction branch to predict a direction map D.



- Testing
 - Apply the offset branch to generate a **offset map** ΔQ .
 - Using $\Delta \mathbf{Q}$ to refine the coarse label map.



Two different schemes as following:

- (i) re-scaling all the offsets by a factor, e.g.,2.
- (ii) applying the offsets until finding an interior pixel

• Result

Cityscapes

motric	mothod	w/o SogFiv	w/ SegFix		
metric	method	w/o Segrix	m = 4	m = 8	
mIoU	DeepLabv3 (Our impl.)	79.5	82.6 (+3.1)	82.4(+2.9)	
	HRNet-W48 (Our impl.)	81.1	84.1 (+3.0)	84.1 (+3.0)	
	Gated-SCNN (Our impl.)	81.0	84.2 (+3.2)	84.1 (+3.1)	
F-score	DeepLabv3 (Our impl.)	56.6	68.6 (+12.0)	68.4 (+11.8)	
	HRNet-W48 (Our impl.)	62.4	73.8(+11.4)	73.8(+11.4)	
	Gated-SCNN (Our impl.)	61.4	72.3 (+10.9)	72.3 (+10.9)	



Ablation Experiments

		boundary width				# directions		
		$\gamma = 3$	$\gamma = 5$	$\gamma = 10$	$\gamma = \infty$	m=4	m=8	m = 16
	mIoU∆	+0.94	+0.96	+0.95	+0.84	+0.97	+0.96	+0.96
	F -score \triangle	+4.1	+4.2	+4.1	+3.6	+4.1	+4.2	+4.2
0			-	-				• ~

backbone	#param (M)	runtime (ms)	mask F-score	direction accuracy	$mIoU \triangle$	$\text{F-score} \triangle$
HRNet-W18	9.6	16	71.44	64.44	+0.8	+3.7
HRNet-W32	29.4	20	72.24	65.10	+0.9	+3.9
Higher-HRNet	47.3	69	73.67	66.87	+1.0	+4.4

	baseline	DenseCRF	SegFix	DenseCRF+SegFix
mIoU	79.5	79.7 (+0.2)	80.5(+1.0)	80.5(+1.0)
F-score	56.6	60.9(+4.3)	61.0(+4.4)	64.1 (+7.5)